

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,679	04/28/2006	Britta Hardy	31831	9819
7590 03/29/2007 Martin D. Moynihan PRTSI, Inc. P.O. Box 16446 Arlington, VA 22215			EXAMINER NIEBAUER, RONALD T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
g,			1609	
			r	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		SH
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,679	HARDY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ronald T. Niebauer	1609
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 April 2006.	
·= · · - ·	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.		·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-69 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-69 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
,9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	= ' '	- · ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication fr	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		fail Date mal Patent Application

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 36-46, 53-54, 56-58, 60-62, 64, 66-67 drawn to a peptide/composition.

Group II, claim(s) 49-52, drawn to a nucleic acid construct.

Group III, claim(s) 47-48, 59, 63, 65, 68-69, drawn to a method of promoting angiogenesis.

Group IV, claim(s) 55, drawn to a method of identifying molecules.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: PCT Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." Claim 42 is drawn to a peptide which is taught by Sakharov et al. (as disclosed in IDS). In particular the peptide identified as VW1 is described in section 0028 and further described in example 1 of Sakharov et al. This peptide meets the

Art Unit: 1609

limitations of claim 42 of the present application by comprising the sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO:32. Therefore the first claimed invention makes no contribution over the prior art, consequently there is no special technical feature uniting all of the claimed inventions.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP

Page 4

Art Unit: 1609

§ 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If group I, II, III, or IV is elected:

Peptides: peptides of SEQ IDs 6, 10, 2, 12, 27, 32, 8, 4 (claim 36-38, 41-42, 44, 46, 48, 55 and dependant claims). If SEQ ID 27 or 32 is elected, applicant is required to elect a single species that is represented by the formula such that all the variable positions are defined.

Further, if group III is elected:

Diseases: one of the diseases listed in claims 68-69.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Application/Control Number: 10/577,679

Art Unit: 1609

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The appropriate claim is listed next to the species above.

The following claim(s) are generic: 36 to the species of claim 39; 37 to the species of claims 40 and 60; 41 to the species of claim 43, 66; 42 to the species of claims 61,67; 46 to the species of claims 58,62; 48 to the species of claims 59,63,69.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: PCT Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." Claim 42 is drawn to a peptide which is taught by Sakharov et al. (as disclosed in IDS). In particular the peptide identified as VW1 is described in section 0028 and further described in example 1 of Sakharov et al. This peptide meets the limitations of claim 42 of the present application by comprising the sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO:32. Therefore the first claimed invention makes no contribution over the

Art Unit: 1609

prior art, consequently there is no special technical feature uniting all of the claimed inventions.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald T. Niebauer whose telephone number is 571-270-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-5:00pm, alt. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Mosher can be reached on 571-272-0906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,679

**Art Unit: 1609** 

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MARY MOSHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3-23-07